

Episode 1,256: How to Be a Good Person, Libertarian, and Scholar: Walter Block's Amazing New Milestone

Guest: Walter Block

WOODS: Well, I've just got done telling people about this amazing milestone. 500 peer-reviewed articles is quite a milestone. And now by this point, by the way, you've zoomed past that. You're actually now approaching 600. It's getting ridiculous, Walter.

BLOCK: I think I'm at 575 now.

WOODS: It's just crazy. All right, it's amazing. But I think you're probably equally pleased with this other milestone, which is you've now reached 100 articles that you've either coauthored with students of yours, or that you have, in cases where the student actually disagrees with you, but you think that the paper has merit, you've helped that article get published in a professional journal. Highly unusual on so many grounds.

First of all, the most basic ground, it's highly unusual for an undergraduate to get published in an academic journal. Very, very few undergraduates have such publications. But then also for a professor to be so concerned for the success, long-term success of students as to be willing to put in the work to coauthor articles with them and see those articles through the publication process, and then even be willing to put forth articles that are in disagreement with him, I dare say this has never been done. I mean, here and there, maybe a professor writes an article with a student. But 100 times as part of a deliberate campaign to help these students, that's got to be unheard of. And so it's another reason that I'm really proud you're in our camp, because you're just a decent person on top of everything else.

BLOCK: Well, Tom, you're very kind, and I thank you. I must say that these hundred are all in refereed journals or law reviews, which are very similar. They give you professional brownie points. But I must have another 50 that are not in refereed journals but are in, oh, I don't know FEE or Mises or something, but not refereed.

And let me tell you this story about how I got refereed. What happened was, when you publish in a history journal or a psychology journal or an economics journal or most journals that I'm aware of, you're only allowed to send one at a time. But law reviews are different. You're allowed to send to law reviews, oh, as many as you want, and I don't know; my list has got around 700 of them.

So one day — this was when I was at Holy Cross, and I had already published maybe 50 articles with students, coauthored, but not in law reviews and not in scholarly journals. What happened is I had an article I sent out. Call it Article X. And Article X was accepted by Law

Review X+. And then Law Review Y called me and said, "Hey, we'd like to publish this Article X." And I wrote to Y and I said, "Sorry, Y, I can't publish with you, because X journal beat you to it, and I agreed to publish with them." And then this Y journal — I forget which one it was; I can probably look it up. The Y journal said, "Well, have you got anything else that we could publish, because we like what you write?" And right on top of my desk, I remember I had my feet up on my desk, and I had a pile of student essays, term papers that I was going to send to non-scholarly journals. And I said, "Wait a second. Maybe I'll send one of these articles to this law review." It was the *Glendale Law Review*. It wasn't the *Harvard Law Journal*. It was the *Glendale Law Review*, and they accepted it.

And I said, whoop-de-doo, wow, I've got something going here. I can get these student papers published not in non-refereed journals, but in refereed journals. And ever since then, I've never sent another student article to a non-refereed journal, because I figured, you know, a refereed journal, you get more feathers in your cap and more brownie points. And that was the inception of how I started sending these to refereed journals.

And the first one on my list was a paper published by Megan Clay, and this was free market for human organs, and it was in the *Journal of Social*, *Political*, *and Economic Studies*, and this was in 2002 when I started that. I was still at — this girl was at Holy Cross. I didn't get tenure there. I got kicked out for my many sins, and I was now at Loyola, but the first few were from Holy Cross. That was the last of the Holy Cross ones. My other Holy Cross students were all in non-refereed journals.

The second one is an interesting story. Jenny Dirmeyer, who is now a professor and an Austrian economist, and what happened when I did my job interview at Loyola University here, I gave my usual lecture: why is it that certain countries are rich? And I called on students, and what I expected was: well, they have a lot of resources, or they have a good history, or I don't know, whatever, resources and skilled labor and this and that and the other. And they were all wrong, because, you know, Japan has got no resources. Hong Kong has got no resources, and yet they had economic development. The right answer is economic freedom. So this girl, Jenny, she was, I don't know, a freshman or sophomore then, she pipes up "Economic freedom," ruining my whole lecture. So I started yelling at her. I said, "You ruined my whole lecture. You know, I was going to go through this and it's going to take me 10 minutes." And you know, she was laughing and everyone was laughing. So I think that Jenny helped me get this job right here, because it was sort of funny. So that was the second one.

Now, I'm not going to go through all hundred. We'll be here forever. But I do want to you know talk at the first two and maybe one by Taniecea Arceneaux, and maybe one by Sayers, Rachel Sayers. These are two young ladies, women, girls, whatever you want to call them — I'm not sure what's politically correct now. Neither one could I coauthor, because I didn't really agree with either of them, but these are I think — out of the hundred, I have five that I didn't coauthor, and these are two of them. And the reason I couldn't coauthor them is because I disagreed with them. And I gave these two girls As, even though they were both pinko commies, because they were good pinko commies. I mean, they were very, very beautiful writers. They wrote like angels. And it was good left stuff. In other words, I acknowledge that obviously Austrolibertarianism is the correct view, in my view, but if you're going to attack it, there a good attacks, and then there are bad attacks. And these were good attacks. Both girls got As in my class. And I feel that I want to promote my students' welfare and their careers, and I want to promote my students' welfare and careers even if they disagree with m. And even if they're going to be intellectual enemies of mine, they're still my

students, and I have a loyalty to my students, and I want to promote their careers. So five out of these hundred, of which I'm very, very proud, are students who disagreed with me.

WOODS: Whether those students or the 95, I'm just curious in general, what's been the response of the students? Do they get the significance of this, or is it, *Oh*, you know, that's kind of neat? Professor Block's a little weird. He did something with my paper? Or do they say, Whoa, hey, that's really great?

BLOCK: Well, I think that the ones who went into academia afterward think: wow. You know, the way you get tenure and promotion in academia is you publish in refereed journals. When they talk about "publish or perish," that's what they mean. Whereas students who don't go into academia, I don't think they appreciate that. And it's interesting, because right after I send it off to a refereed journal, a week later, they come to me and they say, "Well, well, is it going to be published?" And usually it takes like six months.

WOODS: Oh, I know. I know. So now they know what it's like being one of us.

BLOCK: Right. So you know, that's a whole new sort of a thing. But there are students of mine, like Dan D'Amico, in 2007, I published a thing with him. And probably when he was an undergraduate student — or Jenny Dirmeyer, who I just spoke about — when they were undergraduates, they probably didn't appreciate this. But afterward, I think they do appreciate these. It's very rare that students would get their term papers published in refereed journals.

WOODS: What do you think is, of these papers — well, let's say not "is"; let's say "are" — the papers that you think are the most outstanding or provocative or interesting or exciting or surprising to you? Of course, it's a novelty that they exist at all, that you've done any of this, but are there any that you look at and say: doggone it, this could have been written by a grad student or even beyond? Like, I really, really got an insight from this paper?

BLOCK: Well, that's a nasty question, and I refuse to answer it. It's sort of like asking which of my kids do I love the most?

WOODS: Yeah, I know,

BLOCK: [laughing] Tom, you've got five girls. Which one do you love the most?

WOODS: Yeah, I know that; I know that. That's true.

BLOCK: I withdraw that question. I'm a professor, so if you don't want to answer questions, what you do is you turn the question into something else you can answer.

WOODS: Okay.

BLOCK: And what I was doing is, I was looking down the list of undergraduate students who are now professors — I'm still sticking with your previous question: namely, do they appreciate it? And in addition to Jenny Dirmeyer and Dan D'Amico, there's also Nick Snow and Ed Stringham, who were former students of mine and who are on my list of publications. And I'm

only at number 30 now, and I'm sort of looking down the list at other people who are now professors, and I don't see any. I'm still looking. A lot of these people —

WOODS: I see a few familiar names who are not in academia, but I know who are very active in our movement.

BLOCK: Ah, for example?

WOODS: Like Anton Chamberlin. I see him quite a bit.

BLOCK: Oh, yes, yes. Yes, he just graduated one year ago, last year, last May. And he's probably distinguished also in some ways, because I think I've got four or five of his coauthored. I was hoping for eight, because he was here for four years, and I was hoping to get one every semester, but I don't think I got him. I tell you what. I will now word search for "Anton," and there are only four results. So did four with him, but what the heck? That's pretty good.

WOODS: And I'm pretty sure Gage Counts is an active libertarian. I could be wrong about that, but I feel pretty confident about that. But anyway, what matters here is that you've done something I don't think any professor has ever done, and it's a beautiful thing. So when did you start doing this? I mean, I see these articles going all the way up through — I see one from 2016. There might be some later than that. But when did you say the first one was?

BLOCK: Remember, I told you that little story about, you know, my —

WOODS: Yeah, what was the year there?

BLOCK: — the light bulb? It was probably 2000 — no, no, it was probably — gosh, 19— See, I was at Holy Cross from '91 to '97, and then I was at Central Arkansas from '97 to 2001, and then I got here in 2001. So it probably started in 2001 — sorry, in 1997, where I got this ingenious idea. So I've been at it for, you know, about 20 years.

WOODS: That's really something. So do you tell everybody when they're working on a paper: there's a chance that I might work with you to get it published? Or do you get the papers, look at them, find a couple of good ones, and then privately approach those people?

BLOCK: No, no, what I do is — you know, I once got in trouble, because I didn't ask these kids to do it. I just said, oh, this is a great paper, I'm going to coauthor it. And I sent it to some girl, and she was appalled and astounded and horrified that I would take her paper and add to it. So my dean, Pat O'Brien, here suggested that from now on what I do — you know, nowadays, if you want to go to bed with a woman, you have to get her to sign something. "I agree to have sex," otherwise, you get in trouble. Well, I've never had that occur to me, but what I do with these students is I say at the beginning of the semester — and I put this in my syllabus. On the very first day, I say: look, I've got, oh, 70 or 80 or 90 and now 100 student term papers that are published, and I don't see any reason why I can't get a few from this class.

Now, I don't get every student term paper published. I mean, I'll have 20 students in a class, and maybe two or three I will decide that are good enough to get published. But I'll tell you

one thing: I have a perfect record. Every one that I've ever picked to coauthor has got published. So it's not that I pick one and I coauthor it, and then it doesn't get published. They all get published. But out of, say, 20 students, I'll only pick two or three.

And then what I'll do is I'll say: would you like to be in my student publication program? If so, write me the following letter. And what the letter says is: Dear Professor Block, I hereby give you permission to pretty much do with my paper whatever you damn well please, namely edit it, add to it, maybe pick a second or a third coauthor. And what I did with this colleague of mine, Leo Krasnozhon, who just got tenure, is I put him on two or three papers. Let me see how many he was on. Two different papers, he coauthored with me and a student. And I think that helped them get tenure, so I'm just delighted that I was able to help my colleague now and friend, Leo Krasnozhon, get tenure, because two of the papers that he had in order to get tenure — and he had around 12 or so in 6 years, which is pretty good, two or three a year. And two of them were I added him to a student term and paper.

So to get back to this letter, what I say is, write me this letter: Dear Professor Block, I give you permission to add to my paper, edit it, and maybe add in a second or third coauthor, and then try to get it published. And then they sign that, and then they can't complain: *Hey, what did you do with my paper? I didn't give you permission for that*. So I keep those in a drawer, and I have those papers done.

And then what I do — see, what I did before, and I taught many years before. I've been teaching since 1964, 1965 I think? Maybe '66. I forget. And usually what most teachers do when they have a term paper is they just tell their students: well, write me a term paper, and it's due the last day of class or the day of the final exam and that's it. What I do is I torture my students. What I do is I first say: okay, you have to send me a hundred-word abstract of what you want to do. And if I don't like it, if I don't think it's publishable, I say: no, no, no, I don't accept this, try again. And you know, I give them feedback as to what you could do. You know, what are you interested in? And usually I want them to say something like the free enterprise system is the greatest thing since sliced bread, and the minimum wage is no good, or free trade is great, or something like that. And I can get those kinds of things published. Whereas sometimes, I'll get all sorts of weird things and I can't get them published, so I say, no, that's not an acceptable paper.

So then what I do is I say the first draft of the term paper is due, oh, two-thirds of the way through the semester. And then what I do is I get each student to have a buddy. So A and B are buddies. A edits B's paper, and B edits A's paper. And I've never heard of any professor asking students to edit other people's papers. And I go through a long song and dance about how you edit papers. You know, if it's not clear, write out to the other guy that it's not clear. There was a very famous Mel Brooks film, where there were some homosexuals, and they were trying on dresses, and one of them said to the other, "Be brutal in your criticism just among us folk, because if you're not brutal, when I go to the ball, they'll be brutal." So I tell my students, even if it's your friend, be brutal, because who cares now? We want to make this paper the best paper we can.

And then I tell my student: I'm going to mark you as an editor, and if this is a perfect paper, which is very rare, and you mark it up, then you get a bad mark. If it's a perfect paper, don't touch it. On the other hand, if it's a lousy paper, I want to see a lot of red scroll in your handwriting, fixing up the paper. So each kid edits the other paper. And if I have an odd number of kids in the class, like 17 or 19 or 21, then I have several pairs of students, and then

X edits Y's paper, and Y edits Z's paper, and Z edits X's paper. So every student has an edited paper of a fellow student.

And then what I do, to add to the torture, is I start commenting on the editor's comments and also on the paper itself. And then like three weeks or a month before the last day, the student then rewrites the paper and, you know, I'll say you forgot footnotes and for every time you quote somebody, I wanted to see a citation and, you know, things like that. And this is unclear and, you know, I edit the paper. And then at the end of the semester, at the final exam or whenever the paper is due, finally I get the paper. And now what I do is I edit it some more, and then I start adding to it. Like, I'll add some footnotes, or I'll add in a paragraph here or a paragraph there. And then finally, I send it out.

So it's sort of like a five-stage process. First, they send me an abstract; then they edit each other's paper; then I comment on the paper; then they have to comment on my comments; and then finally, I add to that paper. So it's a pain in the neck —well, no, it's a labor of love. I love doing this. I love promoting my students' careers, and this is one way that I can get them to promote their careers.

Because, you know, writing is important. When I have a class of, oh, I don't know, 80, I don't give essays, because I'm not a masochist. I give multiple choice. But when I have — one of the good things about Loyola is you get small classes, and I'll get a class of 10, 12, 16, 20, and then I give essay exams of midterm and final, and then I can also get them to write term papers, because the students that graduate here are not going to get jobs asking you if you want fries with that; they're going to get jobs where they have to write something or speak something. And I also get them to give a speech about their paper toward the end of the semester, which helps get the paper a little bit better. So I'm trying to promote my students' welfare, my students' careers, and I do so by torturing them with this writing process. And the that's how the term papers get done.

WOODS: Walter, let me say something that maybe you don't want me to say, but that doesn't matter. It's my show. I'm saying it.

BLOCK: [laughing]

WOODS: I want to first start off by asking: did you start this while you were still at the University of Central Arkansas, or have all these papers been written since you're at Loyola?

BLOCK: I think one or two came from my Holy Cross students, but — and you know, I hate to say this, but my students at the University of Central Arkansas were not that good, and I don't think I got any papers out of my four years there. But the students at Loyola are really excellent. Some of them are really, really excellent. I mean, many of them are now in graduate school and getting PhDs. Like you just mentioned Anton Chamberlin. Lucas Engelhardt is another colleague of ours. He's a fellow professor of ours at the Mises Institute, and I got him as a third coauthor for a student.

WOODS: I saw that.

BLOCK: Gage Counts is also one, and yes, he's certainly a libertarian. And Richard Fast is now at Troy University.

WOODS: Oh, I didn't know that, okay.

BLOCK: Yeah, Anton Chamberlin and Richard Fast are both at Troy University which is sort of an Austrian one. You've got two more of our colleagues teaching at Troy from the Mises —

WOODS: G.P. and Malavika.

BLOCK: That's it, that's it.

WOODS: All right, listen, so now let me get on to the thing that you don't want me to say.

BLOCK: Okay.

WOODS: Although maybe in your heart of hearts, you do want me to say it.

BLOCK: Okay.

WOODS: It's this, it's this: if we lived in a normal world with normal people who had normal human emotions and reactions, your university would be shouting this accomplishment from the rooftops. They would be using it for recruitment. They would be alerting alumni. We have a professor who has published with 100 of our students to get them published in peer-reviewed journals of all kinds around the world. Nobody at any university can boast something like that. But instead, because Walter block is not fashionable, I'm just going to take a wild guess that there hasn't been a peep from them. Am I right?

BLOCK: I hate to agree with you, Tom [laughing]. I did send this into our publicity people a week or two ago, and I haven't heard from them. And since then — they do publicize stuff. You know, every week or so, there'll be Professor Jones did this, and Professor Smith did that, and then Professor Green did this and Professor, whatever, Blue, Yellow did that. They haven't seen fit to publicize this. And there are reasons for it.

Now, I'm very happy here, because all four of my economics department are all Austrolibertarian, even anarchocapitalists. And we have Nick Capaldi, who teaches business ethics, who's a libertarian. We have two guys in the law school. So we have seven, which is a stupendously high number, and there are only about 250 other professors, so our percentage is very high of Austrolibertarian or libertarian professors. Business ethics and, law they're not Austrian, because they're not economists, but they're libertarians. Whereas next door at Tulane, there's only one, and at LSU, our flagship university, there's not one single libertarian professor there. So we've got a lot of professors who are free market right here.

But we are not really liked by the upper administration. We are not really appreciated by most of the faculty. Most of the faculty — you know I got in trouble with *The New York Times* about the slavery. I'm sure you know all about that. I try to say that if slavery were voluntary, if people agreed to it, it wouldn't be so bad. It would be pretty good. And *The New York Times* quoted me as saying: well actually, Block thinks actual slavery is good. So a lot of students here won't take my classes. A lot of professors won't speak to me, because they think I favorite slavery. For God's sake, I'm a libertarian.

WOODS: What idiots. You have articles in favor of reparations for slavery, morons [laughing]. Really?

BLOCK: Yes, and several of the students, like Taniecea Arceneaux, wrote this thing about we should have reparations for black slaves, namely reparations to present-day black grandchildren of slaves, we should have reparations. So certainly, if I favor reparations for slavery, I can't favor slavery. But yet, this is the reality. I just sent an article to *The Maroon*, which is the student newspaper, trying to clear this up, and they rejected it because it's racist and sexist and whatever. So I'm not very much appreciated here outside of the economics department. Even in the business school, most of the professors are not free enterprise. They're moderate interventionists. Call it that, if you will. One of my litmus tests is the minimum wage, and virtually all of my colleagues, even in the business school, love the minimum wage, and God knows what they think about the minimum wage law in sociology. They think it should be raised to \$10,000 an hour, I guess. I don't know.

So, to answer your question — I'm very long winded. I'm sorry. To answer your question: no, so far, no publicity about this. And yet, I do agree with you. I'll pat myself on the back and say that this is a very rare thing, and I don't know that any other professor on the planet has coauthored in refereed journals 100 term papers for his students.

WOODS: So I'd like to see that change. I'd like to see publicity for this, because you really would have to be mentally deranged not to publicize something like that. I mean, this is a feat that's not been duplicated or even tried by pretty much anybody in academia, and you've got somebody on the faculty who is doing it, who has done it, who's reached 100 such publications, and you're not going to say a word? I seriously would worry about your mental health. But Professor Jones just wrote another derivative paper about nothingness that nobody cares about, but Professor Block over here — who by the way has written 575 articles, so he's written more than entire departments at this university — has also got 100 publications with students. I just — to me, that's the story. That's the story. These people — I mean, it makes me want to make a video shaming them, but I don't want to put you in an uncomfortable position.

BLOCK: Oh, no, no, I'm all for shame [laughing].

WOODS: Shame is how libertarians enforce social norms, right?

BLOCK: That's right. Well look, to be fair to Loyola, I only sent this about a week ago, and it's possible that they will give me some publicity, and maybe as a result of this interview they will. And also my colleague Amy Keeler, who's in charge of publicity within the business school, she will certainly give me publicity on this.

WOODS: All right, okay.

BLOCK: So it's not all bad.

WOODS: Okay, all right. Well, that's good. That's good. All right, anything else you want to share about this particular feat and accomplishment of yours?

BLOCK: Well, I want to get back to the question that you asked that I really didn't answer.

WOODS: You see what a softy I am? I didn't even force the matter. I just let it go.

BLOCK: You're such a sweetie pie. Most interviewers would hang up on me at this point. There are some papers that really are really excellent, and many of them are the ones from my former students who are now professors. Others of them, I will mention Anton Chamberlin and I'll also mentioned Gabriel Philbois. I don't like to mention any names, because then I'm not mentioning other people. And Christian Torsell. These are students that I've had just very, very recently, so maybe I'm more focused on them, because they're more recent, and I'm getting senile so I forget my older students. But these three young men are really, really bright. It's an honor to be associated with them. It's an honor to be associated with all hundred of these students, but I would pick out those three. And they have several articles between them, so I would mention them in terms of which are my favorites. They're among my recent favorites.

WOODS: All right, well, let's see it. Do you have this list of papers anywhere online so people can look at them?

BLOCK: Well, you know what I could do? I could blog on LewRockwell.com and just say: here they are. Take a peek at them if you want. And what I'll do, when I get the URL for you for this interview, I'll stick that in there as well. And maybe I'll send it to Lew to put it on the main thing and not just the blog.

WOODS: Okay.

BLOCK: So as soon as you send me the URL, I'll send it to Lew and I'll ask him what he thinks would be best to do with this.

WOODS: Okay, all right, so we're going to try and get that. Otherwise, I could even take the PDF and host it on my site, and we could link to it there, whatever you want to do. I mean, I would put it on WalterBlock.com, if I were you, front and center as one of my main accomplishments. But one way or another, we will get a link up there to these papers at TomWoods.com/1256, which is our show notes page for today. All right, well, you know what? I want —

BLOCK: Tom, let me just mention one other the thing.

WOODS: Yeah, sure.

BLOCK: About half of my former student papers are females. So I might be a sexist, and yes, I am a sexist because I believe that men are stronger than women and men are heavier than women and whatever. But half of them are females, roughly half. I haven't counted them. I would expect at least 40 out of the hundred. And then there are about five black students, who I'm also particularly proud of. You know, the accusation against me is I'm a racist and all that. And these black students can certainly vouch for me that I am not a racist. I just want to mention one guy's name. Oh, where the hell is he? I can't find his name. Probably you don't know him. This guy is from Ghana, or one of the African countries. I forget which one. And what he wants to do is go back to that country and — here it is. His name is Sosthene Codjia, and he wrote a paper with me: "Economic Development Policies in West Africa: The Case for Free Enterprise." Let me repeat that title: "Economic Development Policies in West Africa:

The Case for Free Enterprise." And, you know, I sort of steered him toward Peter Bauer, who does this stuff.

WOODS: Good.

BLOCK: And this young man is getting his MBA. I was arguing with him that he'd be better off getting a PhD if he wanted to go back to his country and promote free enterprise there. And I did also tell him that it might be dangerous to do that, and, you know, be careful. But I do want to single him out, an extremely bright kid, bright young man. I had him just as an intro student, not even as an advanced class. And I must have had 100 kids in my class, and he got a 97 out of 100 points. And the next best mark was like a 70. So this kid is, you know, very, very bright. And one of the questions that I asked was: "Walter Block tells good jokes in class." And I said, "Hint, hint, the correct answer to this is yes." And he got that wrong. And he kept bugging me. He wanted a 98 instead of a 97. And we were sort of just kidding with each other. A very, very bright young man. He wrote a beautiful paper, and it was published in a refereed journal, and I expect that in five or ten years, I'll hear from him. You know, something else I wanted to share with you. When Guido got his job in France, I gave him ten years, and I said, "Guido, I'm giving you ten years to turn France into a free enterprise country." By the way, Guido failed, and he's very ashamed of himself.

WOODS: Yeah, and he should be. He had ten years, for crying out loud. You were more than reasonable.

BLOCK: Yes, ten whole years, and France is still in the pits. So Guido is off our list. He's a bum. He's a failure.

WOODS: No Christmas card this year for Guido.

BLOCK: [laughing] Right. Guido and I tease each other about this. You know sometimes Lew tells me I should tell people when I'm joking, but I hope everyone realized that I think very highly [laughing] —

WOODS: Yeah, I think they do.

BLOCK: So anyway, Sosthene — Sosthene, that's how you pronounce his name, Sosthene. I'm hoping in ten years, we hear about Ghana or whichever country he's from — I forget, somewhere in the middle of Africa — that it's becoming free enterprise, and I will have had some input into it, because when I met him, he was a pinko socialist, and in one semester, he favored free enterprise. So I'm very proud of him, and I give myself a pat on the back for that as well.

WOODS: Well, this is all great, and we should all celebrate this. Really, I mean, next time I see you, I owe you - I don't know when that would be. I actually don't know when I would see you next. But it'll be sometime. I'm going to buy you a meal. You get one meal for every hundred publications you do with students [laughing].

BLOCK: We see each other at Mises University, no?

WOODS: Yeah, but that's so far. You have to wait that long for a lousy meal?

BLOCK: Well -

WOODS: I'm trying to think if there's any other thing we do together. Maybe the scholars conference.

BLOCK: Are you going to be at the, whatever you call it, in New York? The libertarian scholars

WOODS: No, because our cruise that we do starts the next day, so it cuts it too close.

BLOCK: Ah, I see, okay. Well, there'll be some other Mises event —

WOODS: Yeah, we'll figure something out, yeah. Well, whatever it is, we'll figure it out. But thanks, Walter. Check out what WalterBlock.com, by the way, everybody, because you'll get a sense of the sheer volume of Walter's publications. It's quite overwhelming. Thank you, Walter. Oh, yeah, and congratulations.

BLOCK: Oh, thanks. Tom, instead of a meal, how about letting me win at chess for a change?

WOODS: Yeah, well, now that cheating didn't work, now you're going to just go for sympathy? Is that the new strategy?

BLOCK: That's it. I've got to brag somehow that I beat you.

WOODS: Now that taking pieces off my side of the board didn't quite work [laughing]? Anyway, I love that story, by the way about — I have to just close with this, about you beating Hayek in chess, because then I can say that, by the transitive property, I therefore beat Hayek. Not that it necessarily works that way, but that's as close as I'll ever come to playing Hayek in chess.

BLOCK: That's transitivity for you. Tom, we never accomplish much, but at least we have fun.

WOODS: That's right. Well, you know what? Look, before you go, I actually want to ask you about that. How is it that you were in a situation where you were just sitting around, and you say to F.A. Hayek: hey, you want to play some chess? Like, how does that happen?

BLOCK: I forget how it started. There must have been a chessboard, and he sort of looked at me, and I looked at him, and we both sat down, and we started playing.

WOODS: Ah, okay, that's still pretty good. That's pretty good. All right, all right, let me let you go. Thanks again.

BLOCK: Tom, it's always a pleasure.