



Episode 1,440: A Foe of the Empire: Antiwar.com's Justin Raimondo, 1951–2019

Guest: Scott Horton

**WOODS:** I'm sorry it has to be under these circumstances with the death of Justin Raimondo, but I did read what you wrote; you wrote a nice tribute to him, and you've also written about the future of Antiwar.com. My understanding of the origin story, so to speak, of Antiwar.com is that it goes back to the mid 1990s, I think to 1995. And my recollection is Justin saying that — maybe it was Eric, Eric Garris, even in those days saying, well, I bought the domain Antiwar.com. And this was back when most people didn't even know what a domain name was. And Justin didn't even understand what the point of this was, but he thought it couldn't hurt to have it. And now, of course, it is an extremely important bit of internet real estate. And so that was right in the middle of the Balkan Wars. So this goes way, way beyond Iraq or where people may think the site started. It was in the middle of the Clinton years. And Justin was relentlessly antiwar. He was writing about the Balkan quagmire in the mid '90s, all the way up until he took his last breath. So what can you tell us about Justin?

**HORTON:** Well, that's certainly right. So it was, I think, the end of '95 when Eric got the URL for Antiwar.com, and as he tells the story, he couldn't get Justin to pay any attention to it. He had the hardest time getting him to switch from a typewriter to a word processor, and then trying to tell him that, yeah, no, it's all about this internet website now, it took years, I guess, essentially, to convince Justin that this is the — So Eric was posting a lot of news on there, and I guess Justin had written a couple of things. But I think it wasn't until Kosovo in '99 when he really finally accepted the power of this website, the ability that, *Forget your pamphlets out on the streets of San Francisco; this is what we're doing now*. And he started writing what was called the Wartime Diary every day during the Kosovo War. And that was the real start, and I think that was when he began to really understand the power of the internet and especially of having that domain name.

**WOODS:** That's right. Yeah, absolutely. So he did that. I followed Antiwar.com off and on, but I think it — then I became a regular donor on a monthly basis, and then I would send in bigger donations on a one-time basis, because I really, really believed in what they were doing. But I remember when the war in Iraq started in 2003 and just having that awful feeling in the pit of my stomach that these SOB's are doing this, and thinking — it was 2003, right?

**HORTON:** Right.

**WOODS:** I remember Antiwar.com was my go-to place to find out what's really going on. I can't trust these bastards in the media. I can't trust the right-wing media. Ann Coulter has gotten a lot better than she was then, but she was repeating every piece of propaganda there was leading up to that war. And it was Antiwar.com that kept me sane during that period. It

was so unbelievably heroic. What's interesting about it, though, Scott, is it's run by radical libertarians, yet I'm sure it has a sizable chunk of the audience that's left-wing, and there are plenty of articles that are linked to on left-wing sites. Has it been difficult to manage and balance that?

**HORTON:** No. Essentially, we're a one-issue site. We're run by libertarians, we are libertarians, but we're not here to sell libertarianism. We're here to sell peace. We're here to oppose the war party and debunk their lies. And so anybody who has that same agenda in mind, we will reprint. Now, if they go too far off track, if someone is just way too commie and, *We need to spend all this money on a Green New Deal*, or whatever, we won't run that. But no matter who wrote it, if it's sound and focused enough on foreign policy or the corruption inside the military industrial complex or the neo cons or whatever related subject matter, Guantanamo or whatever it is, and the article's sound, then we'll run anybody. And we take real pride in running Pat Buchanan right next to Daniel Ellsberg and Code Pink and whoever is doing good antiwar work. And so we're very catholic in that way.

We will reprint and we like to encourage and link to other people and promote their work when they're doing good stuff, because essentially, as I say in that piece that I wrote for today, "Now What?" this is the only thing that matters. Look, I'm a libertarian. I'm good on everything. But I don't care about any of this other stuff. I really don't. It's all about the wars. Right now, as I say in this article today, for one example, the war that's going on in Yemen right now, America's war against Yemen. This is the lowest level of satanic evil. This is just absolutely horrific. It's a genocide. It's a deliberate campaign to bomb and starve and destroy a civilian population. And it's "us" doing it. And so as Rothbard would say, we can argue all day about how to demunicipalize garbage service as soon as we're done with this. And so that's all that matters to us, and so we're going to keep doing that.

And by the way, people mistakenly think that Justin is the one who put that page together, but that was really never true. It's Eric Garris and Jason Ditz who do the news all day, every day. I'm the editor and do all the viewpoints. And Justin was very much our editorial director and the soul of the site, as you said in your tweet. He was the boss of what we believe and what we're good on and what we're not a lot of the times. But the site isn't going anywhere. Antiwar.com, the project is not over. In fact, Eric told me he got an email from Butler Schaefer saying, "So does this mean Antiwar.com is over now?"

**WOODS:** Yeah, no, why would it? I mean, Justin was an important piece.

**HORTON:** Yeah, Justin didn't do that. He wasn't the one running that site. He never was, you know?

**WOODS:** But he did have that regular column that was very, very hard hitting. Now, by the way, I always thought he had way too many links —

**HORTON:** That was me. Sorry.

**WOODS:** Yeah, but I mean, I get why, because you want to substantiate what you're saying. But every one of those links is an opportunity for somebody to leave Antiwar.com.

**HORTON:** True.

**WOODS:** I don't want to give them that many opportunities. I want to keep them on the site.

**HORTON:** See, that was my job for like ten years. I was the one doing the overkill on all those links. And that's how come I know all this stuff, Tom.

**WOODS:** [laughing] Oh my gosh. Yeah, well, look, see, it served a good purpose.

**HORTON:** That was my graduate studies.

**WOODS:** [laughing] What do I know? That served a very, very important purpose. Maybe you could say a little something about his own background, because he was rather a firebrand in the libertarian world.

**HORTON:** Yeah. I mean, his character's — he's a very interesting guy. Well, I'll talk about from my point of view when I first found Antiwar.com. There's that snarling visage of him in this grainy old photograph with a cigarette hanging out of his mouth, looking over his shoulder. You can't even exactly tell what he looks like. And for people who went back in the libertarian movement, they knew him. He's a guy, and he's a good writer, and this and that, but I didn't know who he was. To me, in essentially the dawn of the terror war, I mean, I had read Antiwar.com before, but it was really after September 11th that I started reading him all the time and really realized, oh, man, this is the guy I've got to start paying close attention to here.

He was really kind of a star in a way, like on sort of this higher level, kind of the way I conceived of him. And also he was kind of this mystery. He's this enigma, like this big, gay Pat-Buchanan-loving right-winger from San Francisco, who you might think, okay, well, he's gay and he's antiwar so he's some kind of left-winger. But so why does he like Pat Buchanan? Well, he likes Pat Buchanan because Pat Buchanan's antiwar. Pat Buchanan's antiwar? Why would Pat Buchanan be antiwar? Because of what a right-winger he is. Republic, not empire. The only way to conserve the US Constitution is to not turn America into a new Rome that kills itself and destroys itself from within, which is what happens to empires. And so here's this guy who's this gay rights activists from San Francisco who's antiwar, but not for sort of Code Pink, left-wing, anti-capitalist sort of reasons. He's a pure Rothbardian, and not even pure; he's to the right of Rothbard. He's a Buchananite, essentially. And he's antiwar because of what a crusty, old, Archie-Bunker conservative he was. And he really was.

And so that whole thing, it's a mystery, but then when you solve it and figure it out, then there's a lot of enlightenment in there. It's not that being antiwar is a deviation from the rest of his conservatism. It's absolutely part and parcel of it. It's because he's such a crotchety old coot, essentially, that he just doesn't want to hear any of this B.S. about why we need to do these things that, in fact, we don't need to do. And so he was the perfect curmudgeon, and I think he always was from the time he was a very young man. He was essentially a curmudgeon. That was his job, was to sit there with his arms folded, scowling, disbelieving. And he was the best at it.

And honestly, seriously, I beseech your audience, Tom Woods, to go back and find Justin's archives and read them from the times of September 11th through 2002, 2003, and '04 and '05, and see the history of how all of this unfolded through Justin's eyes and through his writing. It's just incredible, man. And there's so many articles. I linked to a bunch of them, a

lot of my favorites in that piece that I wrote today. But there's so much good stuff there. And I was reminded when I was going back reading some of that stuff in the links: man, he was good. And especially, actually, if you only read Justin in the last few years, he wasn't as good, but there was a time where — and I don't just mean because, oh, boohoo, I disagree with him somewhat about Trump and this and that. But just he got a little older and a little lazier. But man in the George W. Bush years, he was the most important writer in America. Forget it. Everybody else was in last place. There's no comparison.

**WOODS:** I want to say a quick thing about his book that he wrote, *Reclaiming the American Right*, that I read a long time ago, that really put meat on the bones of what Rothbard was saying about what the prevailing views of foreign policy were on what we might call the right wing — I mean, who even knows what these terms mean at that point? — but before you had *National Review*. And he really does go through and show that there are very important people who in no way can be classified as left-wing, who were anti New Deal, who were also anti-intervention in general, and who are anti-intervention even into the early years of the Cold War. And then this gets all swept away. That's a really, really great study and book, and I think Buchanan even in a later edition may have written either the foreword or something, but there's definitely some trace of Pat in there. So that's one thing I want to make sure people know about.

But another thing you were talking about — well, let me read for the folks a little something of what you wrote about him. I'm going to link to your couple of pieces at [TomWoods.com/1440](http://TomWoods.com/1440). But you say, and this is quite a compliment, "There is no question in my mind that in the Bush years, especially, Justin was the most important writer in America. I'm far from the only one who was impatiently waiting around for midnight to hit refresh and devour the latest Behind the Headlines piece three times a week." And then you go on to say that "Justin gets the credit for teaching the masses, including myself, the truth about that mysterious and troublesome sect of the neoconservatives in the George W. Bush years." And then on and on from there.

I know that there are going to be some folks listening who actually don't know Justin Raimondo. I even had somebody, if you can believe it, on my email list, *my* email list, write to me after I sent out a piece about Justin, saying, "You know, you really should let us know more about these obscure sites like Antiwar.com. I didn't even know it existed." Now, I've talked about Antiwar.com quite a few times in many contexts, but somehow he had missed all of them. So he didn't know about Antiwar, much less Justin. There will be people who have not heard of Justin, and t after we say all these things about him, they're going to say, I'd like to read one or two of his better columns. Would you be able to link me to a couple of your favorites so I can put them on the show notes page?

**HORTON:** Oh, sure. Yeah, I mean, the only problem is I'm going to overkill you with, there's just so much stuff.

**WOODS:** Right, I know. I know. I know. Give me no more than five, okay, if you possibly can.

**HORTON:** I'll pick out a good five favorites for you, absolutely, Tom.

**WOODS:** Good. Good, good, good. All right, so what else should we say about Justin? He's a great writer —

**HORTON:** Well, let me elaborate on the neocon thing there.

**WOODS:** Yeah, the neocon thing. Boy, was he anti-neocon [laughing].

**HORTON:** Well, so here's the deal. At the turn of the century, Republicans are Republicans. What, they're the country club guys; they're the oil men; they're the bankers; they're the CFR guys, the Wall Street guys. The big business ownership, essentially, are the American right. So what the hell is a neocon? And I remember in the 1990s, it was kind of puzzling to me that *The Weekly Standard* always had Saddam Hussein on the cover and that Bill Kristol, he's this weird guy who doesn't really look like a Republican — and that's not ethnic thing or whatever because he's Ashkenazi. I'm not saying that. But it's just, he can't grow any whiskers on his chin. He doesn't look like a kind of right-wing, tough-guy warmonger should look, right. He's sort of a pencil-neck geek. And yet he never shuts up about how we have to attack Iraq, and I never really understood exactly what was all going on with that until I started reading Justin.

And now, there are a lot of people besides Justin who knew about the neocons. Jim Loeb I think is probably the world's greatest expert on them. People can find his archive. I linked to Jim Loeb's archives at IPS news there, going back 30 years on these guys. There are plenty of people who knew about them, had a tangle with them. Your friend Paul Gottfried, of course, is the world's greatest expert on the neoconservatives. Murray Rothbard, of course, going back into the decades. But the American people never knew about this. You know, a Republican's a Republican. What's the difference between James Baker and anybody else? I don't know.

And Justin came and said: look, there's this very particular sect of Republicans who are all ex-leftists or at least ex-Democrats, trained by ex-Trotskyites mostly, and there's two or three generations of them, and these are the guys who are the world's greatest hawks inside the Republican Party, and in the George W. Bush years most importantly, completely ensconced in the National Security Council, the President and the Vice President's office, the State Department, and the Pentagon. And they were the ones who lied us into war. They were the ones who allied with Ahmed Shalaby to come up with all the fake stories of the weapons of mass destruction. They were the ones who set up the Office of Special Plans in the Pentagon to pick through the CIA's trash and come up with better lies to scare your mom into letting them have that war.

And Justin had their number, and Justin was the one who I give credit to him for popularizing the term "neocon," making that a thing. And in fact, now people overuse it. They abuse it, and now it just means any hawk, like Sean Hannity's a neocon or John Bolton's a neocon. No, they're not. Those guys are just right-wing hawks, that's all. John Bolton, for example, is a lifelong Barry-Goldwater, right-wing nationalist. And he is a conservative. He isn't any kind of centrist Jeb Bush type. He's a right-winger, nationalist and never was a commie. So he's very close to the neocons, and his policy agenda comes essentially straight from them, but he's not exactly one of them. It's a biographical designation that just applies to less than 100 men, really. And Justin really helped to explain that.

Of course, I'd be neglectful to not mention their ties to the Likud Party. I mean, they were essentially partisans not just of Likud, but especially of the Benjamin Netanyahu faction of the Likud Party, which is more hell-bent on war with Iraq, whereas Sharon preferred to

attack Iran at the time. But these were the guys who lied us into war, and their Zionism had a huge role to play in their motive for doing so.

That's not to say the entire war came from them, because of course, George Bush, Donald Rumsfeld, and Dick Cheney, they're Zionists, but they're certainly not ex—communist, leftist intellectuals, and it was their war. They were the bosses. George W. Bush was the one who made the decisions. There's no way to diffuse that responsibility away from him. But at the same time, there's no way he could have done it without them.

**WOODS:** Scott, I was very pleasantly surprised to see — I don't know if you saw this. I don't you don't do Twitter, really, but Thomas Massie retweeted a Jeff Deist tribute to Justin.

**HORTON:** Oh, that's nice. I saw Justin Amash did too, actually, said something nice.

**WOODS:** Oh, did he really? So that was like two levels of radicalism. It was a Jeff Deist tribute to Justin, got retweeted. So that was especially nice to see. He was one of those people, Justin, whose knowledge of this stuff rivaled yours. And there are not that many people we can say that of in the libertarian world. And I mean, he was just writing constantly, because there's so much to say about American foreign policy, because they never stop. There's so much to be writing about. Just tell us about his coverage of the wars. And we can just confine ourselves to 2001 to the present.

**HORTON:** Yeah, sure. Well, first of all, that's very kind of you to say. I'd like to think that my knowledge rivaled his, certainly does not surpass his. But as I said, I learned a lot of this stuff from him in the first place and for doing the research for all those links for his articles for all those years. So he was certainly my teacher and not the other way around when it comes to all that.

But listen, I mean, this is the thing about it, is he wrote three days a week, and he had something to say about everything. And this is sort of my advantage, right, in doing my show, too, is I never quit this whole time. I've been covering it, day in and day out, this whole time. Well, that was the same thing for him. So essentially, nothing got past him. Every important thing that you need to know about the Iraq War, every important development in the month of October 2004, some all—important decisions were made about the future of the Iraqi constitution and this and that. He was on it at the moment, telling you exactly what it meant all the way through, and destroying the word party and their lies and their excuses all the way through.

And so if you go through all of our terror wars — Iraq, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Yemen, Somalia, Libya, Syria, Iraq War II and II, if the war against the Islamic State is Iraq War III, all of Syria, and even the consequences of the Libya wars, they spread into the Sahel and to Mali and Chad and Niger and all into northern and western Africa there — he's on it. He's on every bit of it and debunked essentially every lie the whole time.

And as I say in the article today, the real big ones, larger narratives, but also all the little ones too, somebody truck—bombed Lebanese Prime Minister Rafik Hariri to death in 2005, and immediately the war party blamed Hezbollah and Syria. And Justin went: that is such a lie. Are you kidding me? They say that it happened like this, but we know that it happened like that. And they say that Hezbollah did it, but look at their incentives to not do it. And

meanwhile, it turns out that, actually, it was an al-Qaeda guy, as even the Israelis had admitted when they weren't trumpeting the propaganda that it was the Shia. It was a Sunni radical who had done it, a bin Ladenite type. And he was right about that. And there's a million of them like that too.

You know, I should mention he was under investigation by the FBI as a national security threat. They used FISA, Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act, and the FISC, the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, to go around the Fourth Amendment. And it's the only time that it's proven that they used the national security architecture like this specifically against an American journalist. And the ACLU was suing on their behalf. And what did it? It was because Antiwar.com posted a PDF file of an FBI suspect list for the 9/11 attack that included a guy named Dominic Souter, who was an Israeli intelligence officer living in New Jersey and running the Israeli moving company that the high-fivers all worked for, the Israeli fans of the 9/11 attack from that morning who were arrested, laughing and joking about the attack. And so it was an FBI suspect list, and it had already leaked, by the way. It was already public because they'd given it to a bunch of banks, and an Italian bank, I think, had made it public. And Antiwar.com got it and posted it, and then the FBI pretended to believe that maybe Antiwar.com was an agent of a foreign power.

**WOODS:** Yeah.

**HORTON:** And launched an investigation, absolutely criminal investigation — not a "criminal investigation," but a *criminal* investigation of Eric and Justin. And as Eric wrote in the obituary there that one of the last things that Justin got to see with his conscious eyes was the live feed from the courtroom when the judge smacked down the FBI or the federal prosecutor for claiming that the judge and the courts had no right to determine how the FBI keeps evidence on innocent people as a continuing part of that fight in their court fight there. So one last little win for him on the way out.

**WOODS:** Yeah, yeah, I remember that when that hit. It's just unbelievable. You're antiwar, and it's just like the neocons, they cannot imagine that you could — actually, you know what? I'm not sure that I believe that, that they actually think you're an agent of a foreign power. I mean, maybe some of them are that dense. But the rest of them figure, let's just try whatever smear, because apparently the American public, there's a sliver of them who will just buy whatever smear you throw at somebody. Especially if it involves something like this.

**HORTON:** You know, part of it was actually a mistake, where on September 12th, I think Antiwar.com got a bunch of death threats, and Eric kind of panicked — and he would fess up. I think he's fessed up to this publicly. You know, it's part of the story; it's okay. But he kind of panicked and he called the FBI, and said people are threatening to kill us. And this, they at least claim, it was their contractor who looked at it, and the contractor claimed, at least, to think that Eric was threatening them and was threatening to blow up the FBI and completely misunderstood the message. So possibly, that was just a pretext, but possibly, he thought: what the hell? This guy's sending us threats. I don't know if it was worded funny or what; I don't think it was. But you know, and of course, Eric is like, duh, hits himself in the forehead. He shouldn't have called the FBI about some silly, empty threats back then, but he was kind of panicked, and everybody was kind of panicked on September 12th, okay? He was one of them. And so that was sort of part of the basis of it.

But then they went wild with it, and again, pretended to think that it was possible, that somehow Antiwar.com was some foreign front group, when of course Eric had been under investigation by the FBI for the first time in the very early '70s, in like '72 when he helped organize an antiwar rally when he was like a 15-year-old communist. So they knew who he was. There's no mystery. I said he was kind of mysterious. Well, all you had to do is Google the guy, and there's no question who Eric Garris and Justin Raimondo are. They have a very lengthy public record. And we know exactly what their motivations are, and everything else too. They're Rothbardians, man. They're not agents of a foreign power. And so for them to use the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act against Antiwar.com in that way, even if it was based partially on a mistake, nah, not the rest of it. They're being very cynical there, I think.

And hey, I mean, after all, what's the law? The law isn't anything unless people have the honor to insist that it's obeyed. But if the FISA judge is willing to let the FBI do whatever they want, then there is no law is there? There's just state power and the will of men.

**WOODS:** Tell me about Antiwar.com going into the future. Obviously, somebody is going to write that lead column now. What's it going to look like? What's Antiwar.com going to look like? Pretty much the same, but with some difference?

**HORTON:** Yeah, well, listen, first of all, it's going to remain the same. I mean, Eric Garris and Jason Ditz, they are the workhorses of Antiwar.com. They are the ones who put that page together of everything that's important in the world and in order of importance and what it all really means. And Jason Ditz, I don't know what we'd do without him, man. Everything you read by him, you'll see how he always says something like, "Which is funny, because last week, they were saying this." You don't get that anywhere, and it's Jason's writings that are the highest trafficked stuff on the site. And that whole front page, it's not just aggregation. There's a lot of care that goes in to cultivating all of the day's news for you there. And I'm the Viewpoints editor, and I'm going to keep reading everything in the world for all those opinion pieces for you and all of that as well.

And then, unfortunately, we've had a dearth of regular columnists lately. Kelley Vlahos is over at *TAC* and Phil Giraldi is over at *Unz.com*, and Alan Bock, of course, tragically died a few years ago. Sheldon is still alive and kicking and healthy and wonderful, but I think he's just so burnt out on writing. He's been a regular columnist for Antiwar.com for a few years now, but I think he's just over it. So we haven't gotten anything out of him in a little while here. Which you know what? You wrote the same article 10,000 times about stop killing people, it gets kind of tedious. And Danny Sjrursen, who up until very recently, was still an active duty major or lieutenant colonel — I think he's a major — in the US Army, he just finally got out of the Army. He is really our main regular columnist that we have right now. And I love him. I mean, the guy is absolutely great and so knowledgeable. But he's a leftist, which is great and we're happy to have him as a regular columnist. You don't have to be a libertarian to be a regular columnist, but he can't be our main columnist, because at the core, we are here to represent the Rothbardian take. And so I'm afraid to say, because I really don't think that this is necessarily the right thing, Tom, but it looks like it falls to me to be the head writer at Antiwar.com, because I really just don't know who else is going to do it.

**WOODS:** Well, the issue is, Scott, do you have the time?

**HORTON:** No. I mean, I don't. In fact, I'm trying to get this book written, the book I was supposed to write with you in the first place about a relatively short take on each of the

terror wars, and that's going to take me at least through the fall, if I'm lucky to get done. I'm going to try to write a column on a somewhat regular basis. But, you know, I have to say that Justin, just like Moon of Alabama, Bernard over at Moon of Alabama, he turns out an article every day, essentially, on like up-to-date, current events. *This is what happened this morning, and this is what it really means.* And I just don't think I'm up to that. I always feel like I'm playing catch up. I'm trying to aggregate all of these other guys who got everything right today and figure out what it is that they got right for me, because I just don't think I can do it.

**WOODS:** Yeah, so maybe you — yeah, you don't write that kind of column. If you can't do it, there are a lot of people I really admire, and I say I don't know how they do that, so I don't try. I do what I can do.

**HORTON:** Yeah. And I mean, you know, I don't know. It's sort of like with the show. I'm sort of catching up on the week. And so maybe rather than really cracking the case the way Justin used to do, maybe I can settle for and hopefully my readers will settle for my best take on what Bernard wrote this week that I thought was important and the other things, you know? I don't know. I'm not as good as Justin. I'm just not. He's a natural-born talented writer in a way that I'm not. And maybe I got a flaw that I just can't let any old thing go and move on to the new story. I always feel like I'm still keeping up with the last thing, but maybe I can try to make a change about that. But I can never really replace him, and I wouldn't try to, but somebody has to be in that spot, at least, as the head columnist of the site and setting the tone for who we are and what we're about.

**WOODS:** Are you going to change the name of the column?

**HORTON:** Oh, well, I don't have a name to my columns —

**WOODS:** Would it be called "Behind the Headlines"?

**HORTON:** Oh, "Behind the Headlines," no, I mean, that's his.

**WOODS:** That's his, okay.

**HORTON:** Yeah, no, that's never been the name of my column. I'll have something different. I'll call it, "God Dang It, Bobby." Although now I guess people won't like that.

**WOODS:** [laughing]

**HORTON:** I don't know. I was thinking about that. I have no idea what to call it.

**WOODS:** Okay.

**HORTON:** And I have no idea how successful be at turning out a regular column, honestly, but I'm going to try because I really don't know who else to put in that spot. I'm like Dick Cheney choosing the vice president. *Well, I guess it's me.*

**WOODS:** [laughing] That's right. Yeah, you're probably too young to remember this, even though you're only a few years younger than I am, but in the 1970s, Peter Gabriel leaves

Genesis, and they audition a ton of people to replace him. A ton of people. And they finally realized, we've got Phil frickin' Collins playing the drums for us. How about he sing? *Oh, yeah, how about that guy?* So likewise, we've got Scott Horton here. Maybe we could use Scott Horton. But at the same time, I realize it's a time commitment —

**HORTON:** I'm working on that Phil Collins hairline right now.

**WOODS:** [laughing] Yeah, me too. Me and you both. That's right. But it's a time commitment. So I want people to know you're coming back on next week. We're going to talk about something entirely different. And in that conversation, we are going to talk about your forthcoming book, and I want people to help you out with it, because it's really, really important. Everybody knows that Scott Horton's book on this stuff is going to be the best thing you could possibly read. And there are ways you can help to make it happen. I mean, this guy runs himself ragged, working so darn hard. We all want to do something to ease that burden on you, and so we're going to do that next week.

In the meantime, people should check out [TomWoods.com/1440](http://TomWoods.com/1440), where we'll link to what Scott's been writing the past couple of days about [Antiwar.com](http://Antiwar.com) and Justin. We'll have some columns by Justin. We'll have Justin's *Reclaiming the American Right* book that I mentioned. All that stuff will be there, [TomWoods.com/1440](http://TomWoods.com/1440). And of course, links to Scott. I mean, you've got to visit Scott. People want to support you as I do. I support not only [Antiwar.com](http://Antiwar.com), I donated a lot of money to [Antiwar.com](http://Antiwar.com), but I support Scott Horton directly, because what he's doing, even though Scott and I are friends — in fact, we spent 35 minutes before this conversation talking about entirely personal issues, and then we finally pressed record and went — so even though we're friends, you would think it might be kind of awkward to send him money every month. Not really. PayPal does it automatically. I don't even notice that it's happening. So if you feel that way, don't worry about it. Just send Scott the money. What should people do to support you?

**HORTON:** Well, [ScottHorton.org/donate](http://ScottHorton.org/donate) or [LibertarianInstitute.org/support](http://LibertarianInstitute.org/support), I think it is, is my little institute there with Sheldon Richmond. Before you let me go, though, we have to at least mention so that it goes in your show notes and that kind of thing, Justin wrote the only single definitive biography of Murray Newton Rothbard, and it's called *Enemy of the State: The life of Murray N. Rothbard*, and it is absolutely perfect. So every Rothbardian in your audience has got to read that thing, man. It's really everything you needed.

**WOODS:** I'm jotting that down. Of course we should include that, as well. I remember reading that. I think I flew through it in an afternoon. I couldn't not read it.

**HORTON:** Oh, yeah. It's just wonderful. It's just perfect.

**WOODS:** All right, so we'll definitely put that. But Scott, suppose people — I mean, look, I know that this is meant as a tribute to Justin, but you are now — I know we I don't want to say stepping into his shoes, because that's difficult. But you are taking on a major new responsibility, and we're all cheering you on, and we want to support you. How can we do that?

**HORTON:** All right, well, there's [Antiwar.com/donate](http://Antiwar.com/donate), [ScottHorton.org/](http://ScottHorton.org/) — whatever, I don't know —

**WOODS:** [laughing]

**HORTON:** — /support, /donate, something or other, at Antiwar.com, ScottHorton.org, and LibertarianInstitute.org. And by the way, anybody who donates, who signs up on Patreon or PayPal to support at \$5 a month to me or to the Libertarian Institute, you get keys to the Reddit room. You inspired this. I just hate Facebook too much, so I don't have a Facebook group, but I have a Reddit group /ScottHortonShow, and anybody who signs up for 5 bucks a month gets keys to that. There's about 180 of us in there now. And it's a nice little group. I really like it. It's a nice relief from Twitter.

**WOODS:** Yeah, sure. Yeah, real conversations.

**HORTON:** There's some really good people in there.

**WOODS:** All right, it's ScottHorton.org/donate. I found it.

**HORTON:** Yeah. And buy my book. It's *Fool's Errand: Time to End the War in Afghanistan*. And there's an audiobook version, if anybody could stand listen to nine hours of me talking about something. A lot of people seem to like it.

**WOODS:** All right, so definitely do that. I don't want to overwhelm people with links, so we'll put them all at TomWoods.com/1440, including the link to your book. All right, Scott, we'll talk again next week. Thanks a lot.

**HORTON:** Great, thank you, Tom.