



Episode 1,761: Trump vs. Biden: The Final Debate

Guest: Lew Rockwell

WOODS: All right, let's get into last night. Obviously, this was stronger for Trump than last time. I have some nuts to pick, for sure, but what was your overall impression?

ROCKWELL: Well, I thought of Trump won, although not by a landslide. And if this were a more normal media environment, Biden, for example, told lie after lie after lie after lie, and he of course is confident nobody's going to call him on these things, that nobody in the media is even going to mention it. So if this were, say, 25 years ago and the media were not quite all so one-sided, then I think he might be in trouble. Trump, I was glad he brought up the corruption business, and I was delighted that the moderator even asked a question about it. So that was all to the good. On the other hand, Biden, lies, lies, lies. *I never took any money from a foreign government. How dare you say that?* And so I think Biden hurt himself by saying that he was going to transition from oil and get rid of oil entirely. Not a smart thing to say right before the election when he's depending on winning Pennsylvania, for example. And Trump said, I hope everybody in Pennsylvania, Oklahoma, and Texas –

WOODS: Texas and Ohio, yeah. Yeah, I know, that was one of the best lines. He just nailed that.

ROCKWELL: Yeah, it was tremendous. That was good. But on the other hand, I didn't think Trump did a great job, even in just the short amount of time he had, explaining just how corrupt Biden was, that he is the center of it, not his son, because more and more information is coming out, the media doing its best to make sure nobody learns it. But Biden is, of course, thoroughly corrupt, is in on the payroll of many foreign governments. And maybe that's even better than being on the payroll of the US government. I don't know. But he's definitely a crook and a creep in every sense.

But I think Biden was so bad, and Trump was it was a little bit better. I must say, probably my favorite thing was right at the end, when Biden was asked, what would you tell the people who didn't vote for you? And he said, I will tell you that I'm the president of all the Americans and I care just as much about the people who didn't vote for me as the people who did vote for me, and I'm going to, in fact, put you all in a free vacation at a reeducation camp right after I'm sworn in. He didn't say that, but that's what he meant, of course. So my guess is that nobody believes that sort of typical politician baloney.

And so I think Trump was better, and I think the business of having the two minutes uninterrupted at the beginning of their sessions was actually a good thing. Of course, the topics were all chosen to benefit Biden by the evil Presidential Debate Commission. I've made

this point before, but when I was a boy, all the debates were run by the League of Women Voters. That's the national debates, local debates, state debates. And they were liberal, of course, but they were fair. I mean, it was an extraordinary difference from the government running the debates. The Republicans I think are responsible, although the Democrats certainly helped, to make the debates a government monopoly, and a very bad idea.

WOODS: Well, in terms of the moderator, the first thing I noticed was Trump made the correct observation that 99.9 – it's probably even higher than that – percent of young people recover just fine from the virus. I think it's even more. And she jumped in and said, well, the CDC says that kids can get sick and die. Okay, that doesn't in any way challenge what he just said.

ROCKWELL: [laughing]

WOODS: He didn't say 100%, but it is exceedingly rare. So what is the point of pointing that out? It's exceedingly rare. The kid has a better chance of being struck by lightning on the way to school than dying of COVID. You know, that's sort of relevant.

Now, Trump made the mistake of saying something like – and he's not wrong, but it's just too hard to defend in a debate. Something like we're learning to live with the virus. And oh, was Biden ready for that, because of course he was just going to answer that with propaganda. So now I'm looking on a search engine right now. I typed in "learn to live with the virus." The number-one response from BBC News – you know, that right-wing outfit, BBC News? – says "COVID: Is it time we learn to live with the virus?" That's BBC News. Is that too right-wing for Joe Biden? Then next, EuroNews.com: "We're going to have to learn to live with virus, says EU health boss." And it just goes on and on and on. Bloomberg in August: "COVID-19: Virologist urges learn to live with the virus." *New York Times*: "Europe is learning to live with the virus."

ROCKWELL: [laughing]

WOODS: It's over and over and over again. There's nothing controversial about that. The point is, you cannot expect to eradicate a virus. It's exceptionally rare for that to happen, so you therefore have to learn to live with it in one way or another, through treatments or whatever. Or maybe it weakens over time, which seems to be the case. Whatever it is, you obviously need to learn to live with it. And of course, being a politician, Biden jumped in – and I actually did like, speaking of being a politician, I liked that bit where Biden, they were talking about China or foreign influence, and then suddenly Biden shifts to talking about, you know, when you're sitting around the dinner table with your family or whatever. And Trump jumps in and says, Now, wait a minute, that right there that you just heard is a politician answer. You know, like, Joe, you're just such an innocent little baby talking to us about sitting around the table. Like, I know you were told to say that. [laughing] It's good. You're not supposed to reveal these secrets, but he did that.

ROCKWELL: The table at one of his five mansions.

WOODS: Yes, exactly. He mentioned that he had a lot of houses.

ROCKWELL: Yeah.

WOODS: Yeah, yeah, yeah. Now, I do think there was some good things. Let's talk about some bad things from Trump's point of view. I don't know why – I don't know if they focus-grouped this or what. I don't know why Trump thinks the term "socialized medicine" is such a scary term to most people. I think a lot of people have dealt with the current health care fiasco. They have no love for it. And the idea that somebody might want to try a radical overhaul I don't think is that distasteful to a lot of Americans. They think, well, I mean, it can't get much worse than what we have now. Am I wrong?

ROCKWELL: I hope you're wrong. I actually don't know if you're wrong, but no question that there are a lot of people – I mean, what is it, 35% of millennials say they want to get rid of capitalism. So they're all for socialized medicine, socialized everything. So I think, yes, it's not the scare term that it was in the past.

WOODS: They started the debate with a discussion about the virus. How do you think Trump did in that?

ROCKWELL: Well, I thought he didn't do great, but he didn't do terribly. And I thought he didn't have a good answer. He should have had a clear and persuasive answer, and he didn't. I thought that was unfortunate.

WOODS: That's exactly how I felt, that it could have been worse, but it could have been much better and it should have been much better.

ROCKWELL: Yes.

WOODS: There's no reason Scott Atlas should not be coaching him on this. And even Scott Atlas isn't perfect, but he's way better than anybody else we have in public life right now. So first of all, he should have gone through and said, *All right, well, let's look at Joe's plan. And he wants to test. He wants to do this. He wants to do that. Well, that's my plan. I mean that literally. That is my plan. So secondly, if you have some plan – well, I would just leave it at that. First of all, I've done all those things. Those things have been done. Those things have been done all over the world.*

Now we're seeing in Italy, they're having a second round even though, according to The Daily Beast – they use these exact words – "Italy is having this second round of the virus, despite the fact that they" – these are their exact words – "that they did everything that experts like Dr. Anthony Fauci urged them to do." So Joe, that's been done, okay? So that would have been an interesting strategy back in April, maybe, but everyone's already done that. And now we've got to figure out what we're going to do next.

But the other thing was they asked him about his opinion on Fauci, and if you're not listening to the experts, who are you listening to? He's got to have an answer to that. He should have specific names. I mean, at this point, you've got to know who Martin Kulldorff and Sunetra Gupta and Jay Bhattacharya are, and you've got to have those names off the top of your head and know that they're from Harvard, Oxford, and Stanford, respectively. And say, "Is that good enough for you people, that I'm talking to people from Harvard, Oxford, and Stanford, or does that not quite meet the media's standards for expertise?" Why should an immunologist who may know something about immunology be micromanaging every aspect of our society?

That's deranged. I mean, he should have some kind of answer like that. It's very disappointing that he didn't.

ROCKWELL: That would have been a killer answer, so to speak.

WOODS: Yes, indeed. Well, I'm telling you, I'm here all day. The debates are all over, but I could have been supplying these answers to anybody who wanted them. So I thought that the Fauci answer was weak.

Then also schools. I mean, even *The New York Times* just released an article saying, what a surprise. In New York, it doesn't seem like schools are a major vector of transmission of the virus. And then *The Atlantic* just ran an article again a couple weeks ago, saying, Well, it looks like all the wild predictions of doom were overblown when it came to school. So basically, they're jumping ship on the panic about schools. And you've got Joe Biden talking about teachers dying in the schools. Teachers have no higher mortality than anybody else in this society, and Trump didn't have that fact at the ready.

ROCKWELL: Yeah, you're right. He's uncomfortable with the whole subject of the virus, unfortunately, and he doesn't prepare himself.

WOODS: Yeah, and the thing is, he boxed himself in a bit first, obviously, by going along with the initial 15-day thing, but then also by insulting Sweden, criticizing them for not locking down. Now what's he going to say? Trump is not going to say, "Well, I was wrong about that." That's not happening. But the other thing is, the impression that the debate left with me was Biden has a lot of facts at his fingertips, and Trump doesn't have as many. And Biden has memorized a lot of statistics and Trump hasn't. But then I thought, that's exactly how Hillary versus Trump looked. She had all the precise, rehearsed answers, and he didn't, and he beat her, you know?

ROCKWELL: Well, again, I think he won the debate, not overwhelmingly, but he won it. I think that he's certainly not behind Biden in the swing states. My guess is he may be a little bit ahead of him and he may be even more than a little bit ahead after last night. But if only he had prepared himself better, if only he'd had the right advisors. And this is, of course, not a new thing. This has been true this entire campaign. I think he could have ended everything last night and sent Biden back to his basement, but it didn't happen.

WOODS: Yeah, I mean, I thought his strongest was a couple things. One, he kept harping on every time Biden would make a promise. He'd say, *Joe, you had eight years, and you've been in public life for 47. What reason do we have to think you're going to do any of this? You haven't done any of it up to now.* And Biden would stammer. They had somehow not — I don't know how they hadn't prepared him with an answer, but you know Trump's going to say that, so that's ridiculous.

And then the other thing where I thought he was at least okay was on trying to make clear to that I guess plurality of Americans who have some remote hope of getting their lives back someday, that if you vote for Joe, you're staying in your house, that's what's going to happen. You're going to be in your house because *the science* tells him so. And by *the science*, he means certain career bureaucrats. He doesn't actually mean what the conclusions that we've

obviously seen about the wreckage caused by lockdowns are. And so that was important, but he could have hit that a lot harder and said:

Look, they keep trying this lockdown stuff and it doesn't do any good. All over the world, it doesn't do any good. The curve goes the same way whether you lockdown or not. And some of the worst health outcomes have occurred with the lockdowns, and they're causing bad health outcomes, because now we have kids contemplating suicide and we have missed medical procedures and we have people having strokes not coming into the hospital, for heaven's sake. We have all these problems. And Joe's answer is we're just going to keep doing that, just a lot more. No. No, no, at this point, we're going to protect the people who need to be protected, but obviously, we have to live. Don't you people want to live? I want to live.

So he could have been better, but at least there's no misunderstanding which side each one is on. I mean, we know that Biden – what we know about him is that whatever the worst, most destructive policy advice he was given was, that's what he would follow.

ROCKWELL: No, I noticed that Lori Lightfoot, the mayor of Chicago, has just instituted apparently the strictest lockdown ever in this whole business in Chicago. And she's of course a Biden supporter and a commie Democrat. And of course they've not let up in California and in New York and so many other states where they're in control, so it's too bad that Trump didn't talk about that too.

WOODS: Yeah, it's extremely demoralizing. I mean, I really thought – I'm not always right, and one area where I've been wrong is that I thought more Americans had enough of a zest for life, that they would seek out the truth about the virus, that they would want the virus to be less threatening than the media says, so they would look around. And sure enough, they would find that their instincts were right and use that to justify going back to living their lives. And instead, I don't know if it's sincere, I don't know if it's a political thing, I don't know if they've been brainwashed and they can't see reality anymore. But apparently, the people in these states, they like these governors. Their livelihoods and their lives are being ruined, and their kids' lives are being ruined, and their kids aren't getting to do things they should by rights get to do. And they're cheering. This is insane.

ROCKWELL: It's quite extraordinary. On the other hand, they've been subject to, they and their fathers and grandfathers have been subject to more than 100 years of communist propaganda. I mean, that government knows best, you don't know best, certainly those horrible people in the capitalist sector don't know best. You must listen to the governor and do whatever he says, and that's the only hope. And they've come to believe this stuff.

I mean, nobody in California is blaming the wildfires on what's actually causing them, which is of course the fact that you can't clear out the brush from the trees in your yard. They don't allow this to happen in the state and federal forests either. And it's just an atrocity that they have these horrendous wildfires every summer. And we're supposed to believe that, oh, well, this is climate change, because everything is climate change. But it's not. It's government mess up. And there's a reason these things don't happen in the east, where all the forest lands are privately owned and owners actually take care of their forests and they don't allow them to burn. But of course, in California, where everything is government, these sorts of things don't apply.

So my guess is, and maybe this shows something we have to work very hard to overcome, that people have been brainwashed, in the public schools, in the media. And I must say it's had apparently a terrible effect, even against their own livelihood, even against their own lives. It's quite extraordinary.

WOODS: There was a fairly conventional discussion of the minimum wage, but I thought the way Biden handled it was just the classic progressive worldview, that nobody working one or two jobs should be below the poverty line, or nobody doing so should – so all these *shoulds* and *it's unjust* and whatever: reality is what it is, okay? So to say that, *So therefore, because I think people should earn such-and-such dollars for working such-and-such amount of time, then I'll just force that. I'll just require that that be the case*, as if there are no consequences. And so of course Trump tried to say you're going to throw people out of work. And Biden replied, which is the common reply these days, that there's no evidence that that happens.

But if I were to say, the costs endured by every business – let's say lumber. The cost of lumber doubled. And you've got a lot of marginal businesses, and lumber is a major input for them. Maybe that would lead to them scaling back in some ways, maybe the marginal companies go out of business. Would Biden deny that if the price of lumber went up, that that wouldn't hurt a business? That when your costs go up, it doesn't help, right? Why would costs going up help you? It can't. So what is the thinking here?

Now, of course, he's probably in the back of his mind referring to these more recent alleged studies of the minimum wage over the past 20 years that purport to show that it doesn't really have any effects, that they say. But when you get down deep into these studies, you see the problems. And moreover, there's not one of them that talks about a minimum wage going all the way up to \$15 an hour. Not one of those studies talks about practically doubling the minimum wage. Not one. So he's completely just making that stuff up. But I almost feel like it's nitpicking to talk about Joe Biden and his knowledge of the literature on the minimum wage. That seems hardly fair.

ROCKWELL: [laughing] Now, and of course, if Trump had read a little Rothbard, he could have said that the minimum wage outlaws work. It outlaws jobs. Are we supposed to think that's a good thing, that it should be illegal to work for anything below though the government-set the wage? This is not a good thing, especially for young people, for people who are disabled, or people who need training, and maybe their public school hasn't done a good job for them. Can we really think it's a good idea to outlaw jobs?

WOODS: Right, and I would mention something like, the fact is, very few people actually earn the minimum wage. It's barely over 2% of hourly workers earn the minimum wage, barely over 2%. And even those almost never earn it for more than a year. But for that group, being able to earn something at all is what matters. Getting that start is what matters.

ROCKWELL: Yes.

WOODS: And they're not going to be stuck in that job forever, because the numbers don't back that up, because almost nobody earns it now and they're not going to be earning it in a year from now. But they're not going to earn anything and they're not going to get on that ladder at all if Joe Biden, by thinking he's helping them, raises it to \$15 an hour. If that's such a good idea, Joe, why don't you stop being a cheapskate – you know, we'll make the typical

libertarian argument – why don't you raise it to a million dollars an hour? You know, wouldn't that be great? Then everybody would be rich. Do you understand the problem with that now, Joe? Where is our guy who is articulate and quick on his feet who can smash these people? We're still looking. We're still looking for that guy.

ROCKWELL: You refuse to run, Tom. That's the problem.

WOODS: [laughing] Oh, the last thing in the world that would be aloud would be me on a debate stage. I would love to coach somebody, though, at some point. I would absolutely love to do that. You and I could sit in a room and get that person up to speed pretty darn fast. You know, I still remember talking – it's maybe two or three years ago I was talking – or it could have been more recent. It doesn't matter. I was talking to David Stockman, who served under Reagan. And did you know that he helped to prep Reagan for the debates? He played Carter in 1980 and Mondale in 1984 in the mock debates. So I asked what, gosh, okay, tell me about this. What was this like? Did you stump Reagan? And he said, Well, early on in the prep, we would challenge him in the debate. And he would stop and say, "All right, what's the answer?" Because we had stumped him. But by the end of the week, he knew everything. He was absolutely ready to go. And just oh, gosh, I would have killed to have had that opportunity.

Were there other parts of this that that jumped out at you? I thought it was better that there wasn't so much interruption. There was still plenty of it and plenty of going over time, but did you have any other particular points?

ROCKWELL: Well, I thought that the moderator interrupted a lot when it seemed like the debate between Biden and Trump was really going in Trump's favor.

WOODS: Yes, no doubt about that.

ROCKWELL: And then she'd say, "Now we're on to the next topic." So there was that sort of thing. But I must say, I was once again sadly surprised by Biden didn't seem to be the stumble bum that he seemed earlier. And I wonder whether this was all a trick by the Democrats. They didn't give him his medicine or whatever, and so he was forgetting a lot of stuff and making many, many mistakes, even in very short so-called press conferences. And so they got him the medicine for these two debates. And he functioned much better than he was – maybe this was to make him be underestimated and to let him come back and seem really, he is in control, he could be a good president. And of course, he's boring, but that's actually a good thing. You don't want somebody be too interesting like Trump.

WOODS: Right. Right, right, right. So here's the big question: do you want to make a prediction – I mean, I hate to put you on the spot – about what's going to happen November 3rd?

ROCKWELL: Well, if I had to make a prediction, I think I would say that Trump is probably going to win, although I think it's going to be, just like the last time, a very close election. On the other hand, the Democrats are certainly capable of stealing the election, and we have all these funny deals that are going on, how long the votes could be counted and all that sort of thing. But my guess is Trump will win, I think just because the middle class is very, very angry, and they have a right to be angry. We have a right to be angry at what's going on. And I think for that reason, they're going to support Trump, even though everybody sees him as,

how shall I say, an imperfect vehicle. On the other hand, Biden and the people around Biden and the BLMs and the Antifas – and unfortunately that stuff didn't come up last night, because Biden always says Antifa is just an idea. It's not a movement. Oh, right.

And so I think people are scared about what's happening. I think they're afraid, they're seeing that people are not allowed to defend themselves. If you even show your gun while hundreds of trespassers are marching through your yard and threatening to kill you and your wife and your dog, if you show you that you have a rifle, they're going to put you in jail for four or five years. And that's of course the way the so-called justice system in this country works. People know it. People are afraid. People are worried about the Second Amendment. Everybody's buying guns at an amazing rate.

But of course, Biden has promised to take away our guns. He's just very open about it. That of course was not allowed to come up last night. Would have been a nice thing to have happened. But he wants to put Beto in charge and take away everybody's guns, except of course the government guns and the guns that protect all the politicians. I always like Tucker Carlson suggestion that any politician who says that people's guns should be taken away, his armed guards should be taken away. They should not be allowed to have armed guards. If he doesn't want other people to be able to be protected by arms, he shouldn't be protected. So it's a nice dream, but it's a good point to make. Biden and all these people are surrounded by armed guards 24/7, but they don't want you to even have a BB gun. That's their attitude.

So I think everybody is aware of this who has a gun. Everybody's aware of what's happening. They're aware that the cops, of course, are totally irrelevant. The Supreme Court ruled that really the cops have no duty to protect anybody. That's just they have a duty, if we can call it that, to try to catch the person who killed you. Thanks a lot for that. But of course, most of the time, they don't catch anybody. They're pretty much irrelevant. So cops are no good. We can't have our own guns. People are scared, and they're right to be scared. So I think that's all going to play into helping Trump pull it out. Are you going to be better off in four years than we are now? I don't know. But certainly if Biden is in there, and the Communist Party of the US, which endorses Biden and is like the ideological heart and soul of this movement, if they're in charge, there's no question we're all going to be in big trouble.

WOODS: Now, they know the internal polling obviously better than I do. I don't know it at all. But whether he likes it or not, the election is going to be in part a referendum on staying locked in your house.

ROCKWELL: Yes.

WOODS: I think in part it is, even though that's a governor policy. It's a federalism issue. But all the same, the messaging that's going to come from the – plus, that wouldn't stop Joe Biden. If he wanted to override the governors, he'd figure out a way to do it.

ROCKWELL: Yes.

WOODS: But I think in a way it is going to be a referendum on that. Because yeah, there are a lot of people who it's a referendum on Trump himself, and they hate him, and they're going to vote for whatever schmuck the establishment serves up to them. But there are I think probably a lot of apolitical parents out there who just see with their own eyes the effects of

all this on their kids. And I think, unless the polling is telling them that that's a total loser, you've got to make some kind of an ad, some kind of direct appeal to those people, and just show all these kids who are just demoralized and the life has been sucked out of them.

And a lot of people throw the word *selfish* around these days, but I'll tell you what, this is pretty darn selfish, what's being done to our kids. And Joe Biden says that he wants to keep on doing that. So maybe you don't like my Twitter account that much, but you like your kids, and I'm going to make darn sure they have the most normal life they can. And you know for a fact that the Looney Tunes who follow Joe Biden, all you've got to do is just look at their states. Look how they're running their states. You want America running like that? You want your kids' lives ruined? Then Joe Biden's your man.

I don't see why they don't make an ad like that, unless they've concluded that that's an absolute loser.

ROCKWELL: I also think it's interesting that the Biden people would no question like to get rid of Christian schools. I mean, a lot of them are very open about it. They would like to outlaw Christian schools. They would, in fact, like to outlaw private schools entirely. And have everybody forced into the government schools. So they have they have terrible plans to make our children's lives even worse than they are now.

And I think, yeah, parents of course do realize this, and more and more, they're adopting homeschooling as a permanent solution. But for those who can't do that for whatever reason, the fact that the public schools that they continue to be taxed heavily for, by the way — and of course, all the teachers and the principals and the janitors and all the rest continue to be highly paid, while doing nothing. Maybe it's just like the government in, in microcosm. But this can't continue. So either they need to stop taxing us and let us make our own private arrangements or provide these government schools, as bad as they are.

And one of the things I think that's been a very good thing in the sort of parents' eyes being open about what's happening to their children are the parents who've watched what the children are being taught. It's unbelievable, that, hey, transgenderism is great. How dare you criticize it? And they shame children who are not pro-transgender, and pro all the rest of the -isms that they want to inculcate in kids. And there's some school districts that try to make all the parents sign an oath that they will not watch what their children are being taught while their children are being taught. Is that because they don't want you to see what a great job they're doing? Of course it's because they're indoctrinating them. They're brainwashing them the most sickening stuff you can imagine. And this of course is all normal. And if you don't believe in it, why, something should be done to you. Maybe sent to a camp reeducation camp. So parents are more and more aware, absolutely. Tom, you're exactly right. That of course is also a very, very good sign.

WOODS: Well, let's see where it all goes. But I'm going to miss Scott Atlas. If Trump loses, it's just going to be the Fauci network 24 hours a day. But those doctors are going to have to organize one way or another. Maybe this won't even have to come to pass, so there's no point in speculating on it. But Atlas, at least is — it's so rare to see an actual fighter.

ROCKWELL: Yeah.

WOODS: He really is a fighter, because normally, people who are not leftists are very apologetic, and you know, *I'm only 12% different from you people*. And he just says that these people have got to be held accountable and it's the worst public policy decision of all time. Now, I have to say, by the way, before I let you go, that I did not know that Scott Atlas worked on the Mitt Romney campaign. And that actually does bring him down a notch in my estimation. But at the same time, I haven't followed Romney in the Senate. I'm pretty certain that if Romney were president, it would be all Fauci all the time. There'd be no Scott Atlas. Scott Atlas be out on his rear end. And I think he probably knows that at this point.

ROCKWELL: Yeah, I'm sure he does know it. But he's doing great. He's speaking the truth. It's not always the truth that you and I might agree with, but he's speaking the truth as he sees it.

WOODS: Yeah.

ROCKWELL: And just tremendous. Whereas a guy like Fauci is just a lifelong bureaucrat. And by the way, why is he considered the greatest expert in virology or all these other subjects? He's a lifetime bureaucrat. He hasn't achieved anything. He hasn't made any contributions to medical science. He's just a lifetime bureaucrat. And of course, he's the highest paid bureaucrat in the federal government, so I hope everybody's happy about that.

WOODS: Yeah, no kidding. No kidding. Well, Lew, thank you. I guess it's going to be a long time before we do another — so we'll have to talk about some other topic when you come back on. It's going to be a long time before we do another one of these. But thanks so much, and, again, I have to say in particular, thank you for your service for all these, because it does involve sitting through what is a real slog a lot of times. These debates, especially those Democratic debates, are just painful. So thank you very much. It's well worth it. The listeners appreciate it.

ROCKWELL: Tom, it's great to be with you, as always. And you're a star, so you make all your guests look good.

WOODS: Thanks so much, Lew.